Rethinking local transport powers: a more balanced approach?

12 June 2024



Research Team:

Dr Marta Ciesielska, Prof Graham Parkhurst, Prof Francis Davis, Mr Simon Freeman



KEY FINDINGS

by **Professor Francis Davis,**Professor of Public Policy and Communities,

University of Birmingham

and Visiting Professor Queen Mary,

University of London

Overview of the Webinar

- Key findings on the imbalances of decision-making power in transport (Funding / Differing priorities and inconsistent messaging / Capacity and capability / Disconnected approach to resilience)
- Recommendations
- Feedback Session

Take Home Message:

Recommendations

- Long-term funding and flexibility
- Collaboration and knowledge sharing
- Capacity building and coordination
- Infrastructure investment and market shaping
- Clear and consistent Government messaging
- Strengthening local powers and authorities

Funding

- Key barrier to effective decision-making
- Lack of funding certainty & flexibility: short-term funding allotments (1 year) prevent LAs from planning and delivering long-term improvements (3-5 years)
- Disparity between national & local funding
- Fragmented bus funding
- Short-term cycles hamper long-term change
- Uncertain funding hinders strategic partnerships and project delivery
- Competition for grants creates bottlenecks: resource management and project delay / urban bias / Grant competition and existing inequalities between LAs

Differing priorities and inconsistent messaging

- LAs struggle to balance national focus
- Lack of national support for reducing car dependency
- Limited control over infrastructure hinders development (rural LAs)
- Lack of influence on public transport
- Limited provider choice
- National delays & mixed messages

Capacity and capability

- Staff shortages (difficulty recruiting specialists in transport planning)
- Workforce strain (high workloads & limited resources)
- Burnout risk impacting work quality & efficiency

Disconnected approach to resilience and decarbonisation

- Fragmented funding
- Reactive vs. Proactive measures
- Mixed messages
- Limited local capacity

Recommendations: Long-term funding and flexibility

- Stable multi-year funding to LAs
- Less restrictive grants

Recommendations: Collaboration and knowledge sharing

- Collaborative funding models
- Streamlined applications
- Co-designing policy and interventions

Recommendations: Capacity building and coordination

- Workforce development
- Improved inter-governmental coordination
- Strengthening district-county working

Recommendations: Infrastructure investment and market shaping

- National investment strategy for local roads
- Better market shaping abilities

Recommendations: Clear and consistent messaging

- Refreshed Local Transport Plan (LTP) guidance
- Framework for devolution deals
- Refreshed Transport Decarbonisation Plan
- Funding prospectuses

Recommendations: Strengthening local powers and authorities

- Moving traffic enforcement
- Increasing local control over land use and planning decisions
- National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) revision
- Workplace Parking Levy (WPL) simplification
- Establishing a mechanism for LAs to challenge objections from National Highways on local/regional transport projects

FEEDBACK SESSION

by **Professor Graham Parkhurst**,

Director of the Centre for Transport & Society

at UWE Bristol

Thank you