Decision Making Accountability In Castle Point (Essex!)





Castle Point's experience of DMA

Evidence based

- 30 interviews and 2 drop-in sessions were undertaken based on the 7 DMA Elements.
- Services which were non-DMA compliant were identified and a range of roles were interviewed including front line staff, officers, team leaders, service managers, heads of service, strategic directors and the chief executive.
- These services included Customer and Digital Services, Housing, Place and Policy, Environment, Corporate Services and Resources.

Inclusive - "bottom up"

- Confidential interviews were conducted by two independent DMA experts (from the LGA) during from November 2022.
- Colleagues were also invited to have their say via email and telephone as well as attending the virtual drop-in sessions.
- Members briefed before, during and afterwards

'Our levels of management are not clearly defined'

'Nice place to work but the council is stuck in the past'

'People are pulling in different directions'

'The council is quite insular in approach'

'Culture of we have always done it that way'

'It's like walking through quicksand'

'Decision-making is clunky and needs streamlining'

'The lack of clarity around Officer roles and responsibilities creates confusion for Members and results in them getting involved in the weeds'

'We have a really good core of staff'

'Lots of goodwill'

'Trying to do what we can with what we've got'

'What are we waiting for?'

'There's a culture of cc'ing and double-checking'

'Castle Point needs to radically modernise'

'We come up against brick wall, brick wall, brick wall'

"The council has been led by personalities in the past and has been toxic for far too long."

'We go round the houses for an answer'

'Staff don't want to make a decision in case they make the wrong one'

'The council is very disjointed and dysfunctional'

'No one ever talks and there is siloed working'

'The council is old-fashioned and too accessible'

'Very behind on technology'

'Departments keep themselves to themselves'

'I could do so much more if I had the tools'

Important messages for members

- The Council is ready for change and 'would like to be more proactive, after a long period of 'doing the same' and has a genuine commitment to engaging and empowering staff on its journey.
- Employees of the Council have a keen appetite for change but are held back by a hierarchical culture, lack of confidence and a lack of transparency and fairness regarding roles and pay.
- The Council's culture from the past also means that people work on their own projects rather than as One Council and this is evident from a fragmented leadership team to the fragmented frontline.
- The DMA review found a lack of cohesive working at leadership team level, which results in different agendas and frustrations, and addressed this by proposing two alternative top organisational structures.

Clear findings and recommendations

- The DMA review identified 7 layers (6 levels) of hierarchy in some areas of the organisation, where 5 layers (4 levels) is good practice for a local authority of this size.
- This means that frontline staff are too far removed from the Chief Executive role with up to 6 layers between them and the Chief Executive.
- The Senior Leadership team is fragmented, with a combination of Strategic Directors and Heads of Service reporting into the Chief Executive role, which creates confusion and siloed services as well as a lack of leadership.
- The council has a top-heavy management structure and would benefit from directing more of this resource to the frontline to deal with increased demand.
- Operational services do not feel adequately supported by the corporate core which is under-resourced and this leads to an organisational culture of two halves.

- Despite a genuine commitment to deliver to residents, the lack of clarity around responsibilities and accountabilities results in a fragmentation of the council and culture from the top, down to the frontline and this also affects service delivery and contract management.
- Over-layering in some areas is creating bottlenecks and silos, leading to slower decision-making and reduced efficiency. There is 'lots of red tape' and 'things get blocked'.
- The structure is very hierarchical and as a result, staff do not have the confidence to make decisions.
- Spans of control range from 1 through to 19, which are inefficient. Consider implementing spans between 5 and 9.
- The current structure is designed around individuals and services rather than the customer and feels 'disjointed'. 'we are working for the same organisation but end up fighting.'

"Transforming Together"

What did we do?

- Whole organisation redesign with a new TOM for Tiers 2 & 3
- Job evaluation (finally!)
- Funded business case for change c£2m with <3 year pay-back period
- 12 month programme of OD implementation with bespoke and focussed internal comms
- Changes to the constitution and financial delegations to improve accountability and clarity
- Kickstarted approach with KCCs to design NWOW, concept model office and new Values & Behaviours

What has been the impact?

- Service reviews based on DMA findings will drive transformation for Tiers 4 and below
- Proposed c£1m investment in digital infrastructure to support alignment of services to customers and to take the weight out of manual processing which will also deliver efficiency savings
- Engaged and informed workforce with emerging cultural shift – staff survey to come....
- The right people in the right place doing the right things

Questions



